with the full list of names. Do not include addresses here.)

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

MIDDLE District of NORTH CAROLINA

Division

MARTIN RUSSELL DIAMOND

Plaintiff(s)

(Write the full name of each plaintiff who is filing this complaint.

If the names of all the plaintiffs cannot fit in the space above,
please write "see attached" in the space and attach an additional
page with the full list of names.)

-V
Defendant(s)

(Write the full name of each defendant who is being sued. If the
names of all the defendants cannot fit in the space above, please
write "see attached" in the space and attach an additional page

(to be filled in by the Clerk's Office)

#### COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF CIVIL RIGHTS

(Prisoner Complaint)

#### NOTICE

Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 5.2 addresses the privacy and security concerns resulting from public access to electronic court files. Under this rule, papers filed with the court should *not* contain: an individual's full social security number or full birth date; the full name of a person known to be a minor; or a complete financial account number. A filing may include *only*: the last four digits of a social security number; the year of an individual's birth; a minor's initials; and the last four digits of a financial account number.

Except as noted in this form, plaintiff need not send exhibits, affidavits, grievance or witness statements, or any other materials to the Clerk's Office with this complaint.

In order for your complaint to be filed, it must be accompanied by the filing fee or an application to proceed in forma pauperis.

Page 1 of 11

#### I. The Parties to This Complaint

### A. The Plaintiff(s)

Provide the information below for each plaintiff named in the complaint. Attach additional pages if needed.

Name	MARTIN RUSSELL DIAMOND
All other names by which	
you have been known:	MARTIN SHELLEY
ID Number	1324877
Current Institution	SCOTLAND CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION
Address	22305 MEGIRT'S BRIDGE ROAD
	LAURINBURG NC 28352
	City State Zip Code

#### B. The Defendant(s)

Provide the information below for each defendant named in the complaint, whether the defendant is an individual, a government agency, an organization, or a corporation. Make sure that the defendant(s) listed below are identical to those contained in the above caption. For an individual defendant, include the person's job or title (if known) and check whether you are bringing this complaint against them in their individual capacity or official capacity, or both. Attach additional pages if needed.

Defendant No. 1	
Name	ODEDERE
Job or Title (if known)	UNIT MANAGER IV
Shield Number	
Employer	N.C DEPT OF PUBLIC SAFETY - SOUTHERN C.I
Address	272 GLEN ROAD
	TROY N.C 2.7371 City State Zip Code
	✓ Individual capacity ☐ Official capacity
Defendant No. 2	
Name	EuoTE
Job or Title (if known)	OFFICER
Shield Number	
Employer	N.C DEPT. OF PUBLIC SAFETY - SOUTHERN C.I
Address	272 GLEN ROAD
	TROY N.C 27371
	City State Zip Code
	✓ Individual capacity  ☐ Official capacity

B. Section 1983 allows claims alleging the "deprivation of any rights, privileges, or immunities secured by the Constitution and [federal laws]." 42 U.S.C. § 1983. If you are suing under section 1983, what federal constitutional or statutory right(s) do you claim is/are being violated by state or local officials?

1) 8<sup>TH</sup> AMENDMENT VIOLATIONS—(CRUEL & UNUSUAL PUNISHMENTS INFLICTED)
(EXCESSIVE FORCE & FAILURE TO INTERVENE)

2) CLAIMS UNDER N.C. LAW FOR "ASSUALT & BATTERY" (28 U.S.C. SECTION 1367.)
- DEFENDANT ODEDERE-

C. Plaintiffs suing under *Bivens* may only recover for the violation of certain constitutional rights. If you are suing under *Bivens*, what constitutional right(s) do you claim is/are being violated by federal officials?

D.	Section 1983 allows defendants to be found liable only when they have acted "under color of any statute, ordinance, regulation, custom, or usage, of any State or Territory or the District of Columbia." 42 U.S.C. § 1983. If you are suing under section 1983, explain how each defendant acted under color of state or local law. If you are suing under <i>Bivens</i> , explain how each defendant acted under color of federal law. Attach additional pages if needed.
	SEE ATTACHED ADDITIONAL PAGE
Priso	oner Status
Indic	ate whether you are a prisoner or other confined person as follows (check all that apply):
	Pretrial detainee
	Civilly committed detainee
	Immigration detainee
	Convicted and sentenced state prisoner
	Convicted and sentenced federal prisoner
	Other (explain)
State	ment of Claim
allege furthe any ca	as briefly as possible the facts of your case. Describe how each defendant was personally involved in the d wrongful action, along with the dates and locations of all relevant events. You may wish to include r details such as the names of other persons involved in the events giving rise to your claims. Do not cite ases or statutes. If more than one claim is asserted, number each claim and write a short and plain nent of each claim in a separate paragraph. Attach additional pages if needed.
A.	If the events giving rise to your claim arose outside an institution, describe where and when they arose
В.	If the events giving rise to your claim arose in an institution, describe where and when they arose.
	SOUTHERN CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION MONTGOMERY COUNTY, 272 GLEN RD. TROY, N.C. 27371

Pro Se 14 (Re	v. 12/16) Complaint for	Violation of Civil Rights	s (Prisoner)

	C.	What date and approximate time did the events giving rise to your claim(s) occur?			
	D.	APRIL 12 <sup>TH</sup> , 2021 AT APPROXIMATELY 1635  What are the facts underlying your claim(s)? (For example: What happened to you? Who did what? Was anyone else involved? Who else saw what happened?)			
		"SEE ATTACHED PAGES"			
v.		s ustained injuries related to the events alleged above, describe your injuries and state what medical nt, if any, you required and did or did not receive.			
		SEE ATTACHED PAGES"			
VI.	If reque	iefly what you want the court to do for you. Make no legal arguments. Do not cite any cases or statutes. sting money damages, include the amounts of any actual damages and/or punitive damages claimed for alleged. Explain the basis for these claims.			
		"SEE ATTACHED PAGES"			

#### VII. Exhaustion of Administrative Remedies Administrative Procedures

The Prison Litigation Reform Act ("PLRA"), 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(a), requires that "[n]o action shall be brought with respect to prison conditions under section 1983 of this title, or any other Federal law, by a prisoner confined in any jail, prison, or other correctional facility until such administrative remedies as are available are exhausted."

Administrative remedies are also known as grievance procedures. Your case may be dismissed if you have not exhausted your administrative remedies.

A.	Did your claim(s) arise while you were confined in a jail; prison, or other correctional facility?
	√ Yes
	☐ No
	If yes, name the jail, prison, or other correctional facility where you were confined at the time of the events giving rise to your claim(s).
	SOUTHERN CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION
В.	Does the jail, prison, or other correctional facility where your claim(s) arose have a grievance procedure?
	✓ Yes
	☐ No
	Do not know
C.	Does the grievance procedure at the jail, prison, or other correctional facility where your claim(s) arose cover some or all of your claims?
	Yes
	☐ No
	✓ Do not know
	If yes, which claim(s)?

D.	Did you file a grievance in the jail, prison, or other correctional facility where your claim(s) arose concerning the facts relating to this complaint?
	✓ Yes
	□ No
	If no, did you file a grievance about the events described in this complaint at any other jail, prison, or other correctional facility?
	Yes
	□ No
E.	If you did file a grievance:
	1. Where did you file the grievance?
	SOUTHERN CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION - 272 GLEN RD. TROY, N.C. 27371
	2. What did you claim in your grievance?
,	
	UNIT MANAGER ODEDERE USED EXCESSIVE FORCE AGAINST ME
	3. What was the result, if any?
	·
	NO RESULTS, AT THIS TIME
	4. What steps, if any, did you take to appeal that decision? Is the grievance process completed? If not, explain why not. (Describe all efforts to appeal to the highest level of the grievance process.)
	APPEALED THRU FINAL STEP; GRIEVANCE PROCESS COMPLETED
	THE THE SILI SHEET STEET

	F.	If you did not file a grievance:	
		1. If there are any reasons why you did not file a grievance, state them here:	
	`	2. If you did not file a grievance but you did inform officials of your claim, state who you informed, when and how, and their response, if any:	
	G.	Please set forth any additional information that is relevant to the exhaustion of your administrative remedies.	
	-		
		(Note: You may attach as exhibits to this complaint any documents related to the exhaustion of your administrative remedies.)	
vm.	Previou	is Lawsuits	
	The "three strikes rule" bars a prisoner from bringing a civil action or an appeal in federal court without payi the filing fee if that prisoner has "on three or more prior occasions, while incarcerated or detained in any faci brought an action or appeal in a court of the United States that was dismissed on the grounds that it is frivolo malicious, or fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, unless the prisoner is under imminent danger of serious physical injury." 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).		
	To the b	pest of your knowledge, have you had a case dismissed based on this "three strikes rule"?	
	Ye	s s	
	☑ No		
	If yes, s	state which court dismissed your case, when this occurred, and attach a copy of the order if possible.	

imprisonment?

A.		ve you filed other lawsuits in state or federal court dealing with the same facts involved in this ion?
	[.Z	Yes
		No
		, INO
В.		our answer to A is yes, describe each lawsuit by answering questions 1 through 7 below. (If there is re than one lawsuit, describe the additional lawsuits on another page, using the same format.)
	1.	Parties to the previous lawsuit
		Plaintiff(s) MARTIN RUSSELL DIAMOND
		Defendant(s) NORTH CAROLINA DEP'T OF PUBLIC SAFETY
	2.	Court (if federal court, name the district; if state court, name the county and State)
		NORTH CAROLINA INDUSTRIAL COMMISSION
	3.	Docket or index number
		TA FILE NO .: 29431
	4.	Name of Judge assigned to your case
	5.	Approximate date of filing lawsuit
		8/1/21 APPROXIMATELY
	6.	Is the case still pending?
		Yes
		✓ No
		If no, give the approximate date of disposition.  10/1/21 APPROXIMATELY
	7.	What was the result of the case? (For example: Was the case dismissed? Was judgment entered in your favor? Was the case appealed?)
		DISMISSED - RULE 12(b)(1) - NO JURISDICTION: INTENTIONAL TORT
C	IJ.	we you filed other lawsuits in state or federal court otherwise relating to the conditions of your

Page 9 of 11

Se 14 (Rev. 12/16) Complaint for Violation of Civil Rights (Prisoner)				
	Yes			
$\overline{\checkmark}$	No No			
	your answer to C is yes, describe each lawsuit by answering questions 1 through 7 below. (If there is than one lawsuit, describe the additional lawsuits on another page, using the same format.)			
1.	Parties to the previous lawsuit			
	Plaintiff(s)			
	Defendant(s)			
2.	Court (if federal court, name the district; if state court, name the county and State)			
3.	Docket or index number			
5.				
4.	Name of Judge assigned to your case			
5.	Approximate date of filing lawsuit			
6.	Is the case still pending?			
	Yes			
	□ No			
	If no, give the approximate date of disposition			
7.	What was the result of the case? (For example: Was the case dismissed? Was judgment entered in your favor? Was the case appealed?)			

#### IX. Certification and Closing

Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11, by signing below, I certify to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief that this complaint: (1) is not being presented for an improper purpose, such as to harass, cause unnecessary delay, or needlessly increase the cost of litigation; (2) is supported by existing law or by a nonfrivolous argument for extending, modifying, or reversing existing law; (3) the factual contentions have evidentiary support or, if specifically so identified, will likely have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation or discovery; and (4) the complaint otherwise complies with the requirements of Rule 11.

#### A. For Parties Without an Attorney

I agree to provide the Clerk's Office with any changes to my address where case-related papers may be served. I understand that my failure to keep a current address on file with the Clerk's Office may result in the dismissal of my case.

Signature of Plaintiff Printed Name of Plaintiff Prison Identification #	MARTIN DIAMONO		
Prison Address	1324877 22385 McGIRT'S	BAIDGE	_
	LAURINBURG City		2.8353 Zip Code
For Attorneys			
Date of signing:			
Signature of Attorney			
Printed Name of Attorney			
Bar Number	\		
Name of Law Firm		<del></del>	
Address			
	City	State	Zip Code
Telephone Number	,		
E-mail Address			

	1 OF 1
	-
4) OFFICER PARKER	
3) SERGEANT CRISCO	
2) OFFICER EYOTE	
I) UNIT MANAGER ODEDERE	
SEE ATTACHED DETENDANTS	
PLAINTIFF, PRO SE "SEE ATTACHED" DEFENDANTS	
SCOTLAND CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION 12385 MCGIRT'S BRIDGE ROAP LAURINBURG, NC 28353	

MR MARTIN RUSSELL DIRING VITT --- 1011

II. BASIS FOR JURISDICTION - D.

DEFENDANT ODEDERE AT ALL TIMES RELEVANT TOTHIS ACTION WAS

MPLOYED BY THE STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC

SAFETY AS A UNIT MANAGER AT SOUTHERN CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION,

MONTGOMERY COUNTY, 272 GLEN RD. TROY, N.C 27371. AS UNIT

MANAGER, DEFENDANT ODEDERE WAS THE HIGHEST AUTHORITY ON THE

HOUSING UNIT, CHARGED WITH THE CUSTODY AND CARE OF THE PLAINTIFF. AT

ALL TIMES RELEVANT TO THIS COMPLAINT, UNIT MANAGER ODEDERE ACTED

INDER THE COLOR OF STATE LAW.

DEFENDANT EUOTE AT ALL TIMES RELEVANT TO THIS ACTION WAS

EMPLOYED BY THE STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC

SAFETY AS AN OFFICER AT SOUTHERN CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION.

DEFENDANT EUOTE WAS AN OFFICER ASSIGNED TO THE ENGLAND

NK. MABIIN KYSSELL DIAMOND OFUS #1324877 XOTLAND CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION 22385 McGIRT'S BRIDGE ROAD LAURINBURG, NC 28353 PLAINTIFF, PRO SE

TOUSING UNIT. AT ALL TIMES RELEVANT TO THIS COMPLAINT, OFFICER

EUDTE ACTED UNDER THE COLOR OF STATE LAW,

DEFENDANT CRISCO AT ALL TIMES RELEVANT TO THIS ACTION WAS

EMPLOYED BY THE STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC

SAFETY AS A SERGEANT AT SOUTHERN CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION.

DEFENDANT CRISCO WAS THE SERGEANT ASSIGNED TO THE ENGLAND

HOUSING UNIT. AT ALL TIMES RELEVANT TO THIS COMPLAINT, SERGEANT

PRISCO ACTED UNDER THE COLOR OF STATE LAW.

DEFENDANT PARKER AT ALL TIMES RELEVANT TO THIS ACTION WAS

EMPLOYED BY THE STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC

SAFETY AS AN OFFICER AT SOUTHERN CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION.

DEFENDANT PARKER WAS AN OFFICER ASSIGNED TO THE ENGLAND

HOUSING UNIT, AT ALL TIMES RELEVANT TO THIS COMPLAINT, OFFICER

COTLAND CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION  .2385 McGIRT'S BRIDGE ROAD  AURINBURG, NC 28353  'LAINTIFF, PRO SE
PARKER ACTED UNDER THE COLOR OF STATE LAW.
EACH DEFENDANT IS SUED IN THEIR INDIVIDUAL CAPACITY, JOINTLY
AND SEVERALLY, FOR THEIR ACTS AND OMMISSIONS. AT ALL TIMES
RELEVANT TO THIS COMPLAINT, EACH DEFENDANT ACTED UNDER THE
COLOR OF STATE LAW,

Document 1

Filed 04/12/22 Page 15 of 30 ~

Case 1:22-cv-00287-LCB-LPA

COTLAND CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION

.2385 McGIRT'S BRIDGE ROAD
AURINBURG, NC 28353
LAINTIFF, PRO SE

77 170 32
IV. STATEMENT OF CLAIM - PART D
AROUND 1635 ON APRIL 12, 2021 AT SOUTHERN CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION DEFENDANTS
ARKER AND EUOTE WERE SERVING THE DINNER MEAL INSIDE OF CELL BLOCK B" OF TH
NGLAND HOUSING UNIT. DEFENDANTS ARRIVED AT THE CELL OF MARTIN DIAMOND, TH
LAINTIFF, AND SERVED THE MEAL. AT THAT TIME THE PLAINTIFF REQUESTED A
AR OF SOAP AND REQUESTED TO SPEAK WITH THE HOUSING UNIT SERGEANT, DEFENDAN
RISCO, IN REGARDS TO RECIEVING ASSISTANCE IN BEING ISSUED A REPLACEMENT ID
ARD,
. DEFENDANT PARKER RELAYED THE PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST VIA RADIO TO DEFENDANT
RISCO, WHO DECLINED TO BE OF AID. PLAINTIFF AFTER BEING INFORMED BY
EFENDANT PARKER OF THE HOUSING UNIT SERGEANT'S DECISION REQUESTED

IDMINISTRATOR OVER THE DAY TO DAY PRISON FUNCTIONS) FOR AID.

DEFENDANT PARKER CONTACT THE OFFICER-IN-CHARGE (ALSO KNOWN AS O.I.C - THE

- 1. DEFENDANT PARKER RESPONDED "CLOSE UP FOOD TRAP OR GET PEPPER SPRAYED FOR SLOWING DOWN FEEDING". PLAINTIFF REPEATED THE REQUEST FOR O.I.C SSISTANCE AND ASKED DEFENDANT PARKER "YOU ARE GOING TO SPRAY ME?". DEFENDANT PARKER RESPONSED YES" THEN PROCEEDED TO PEPPER SPRAY THE 'LAINTIFF'S FACE CAUSING PAIN, SUFFERING, PHYSICAL INJURY AND EMOTIONAL 1. DEFENDANT PARKER INFORMS THE UNIT SERGEANT THAT PEPPER SPRAY/USE OF
- DEFENDANT PARKER INFORMS THE UNIT SERGEANT THAT PEPPER SPRAY/USE OF CORCE INCIDENT HAD OCCURRED. DEFENDANT CRISCO ENTERS THE CELL BLOCK APPROACHING DEFENDANTS PARKER AND EUOTE. DEFENDANT CRISCO SPOKE WITH DEFENDANT PARKER STATING THAT IS NOT HOW WE TREAT PEOPLE.
- TELLS THE PLAINTIFF HELP WILL BE GIVEN. PLAINTIFF THANKED DEFENDANT
- Case 1:22-cv-00287-LCB-LPA Document 1 Filed 04/12/22 Page 17 of 30° of ""

XITS THE CELL BLOCK. DEFENDANT PARKER LEAVES THE PLAINTIFF'S

IELL AREA. THE PLAINTIFF WAITS AT THE FOOD TRAP FOR THE PROMISED

IID: A SOAP BAR AND ASSISTANCE IN BEING ISSUED A REPLACEMENT ID CARD.

DEFENDANT ODEDERE, THE UNIT MANAGER, THE HIGHEST AUTHORITY ON THE

NGLAND HOUSING ENTERS CELL BLOCK "B". DEFENDANT ODEDERE APPROACHE

HE PLAINTIFF'S CELL AREA AND IMMEDIATELY PEPPER SPRAYS THE PLAINTIFF

N THE FACE CAUSING PAIN, SUFFERING, PHYSICAL INJURY AND EMOTIONAL DISTRES

1. DEFENDANT ODEDERE ORDERS THE PLAINTIFF TO REMOVE HANDS OFF THE

FOOD TRAP. THE PLAINTIFF ASKS FOR THE OFFICER-IN-CHARGE, DEFENDANT

DEDERE DOESN'T ACKNOWLEDGE THE PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR

ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANCE.

3. PLAINTIFF TELLS DEFENDANTS ODEDERE AND EYOTE THAT THE DINNE!

TRAY HAS PEPPER SPRAY ON IT AND NEEDS TO BE REPLACED, PLAINTIFF

Case 1:22-cv-00287-LCB-LPA Document 1 Filed 04/12/22 Page 18 of 30 3 05 11

EMOVES A HAND, GRABS THE TRAY AND TOSSES IT OUT OF THE CELL FOODTRAP.

EFENDANTS ODEPERE AND EUDTE PEPPER SPRAY THE PLAINTIFF AND BEGIN TO SLAN

HE FOOD TRAP AGAINST THE PLAINTIFF'S HANDS MULTIPLE TIMES CRUSING PAIN,

UFFERING, PHYSICAL INJURY AND EMOTIONAL DISTRESS.

1. DEFENDANTS RELENT. PLAINTIFF REPEATS O.I.C REQUEST. DEFENDANT ODEDERE

SEGINS TO BRUTALLY STRIKE THE PLAINTIFF WITH AN EXPANDABLE BATON, UPON

NFORMATION AND BELIEF DEFENDANT ODEDERE'S BATON STRIKES LASTED 50

ECONDS NON-STOP WITH APPROXIMATELY 40 STRIKES CAUSING BROKEN BONES

REQUIRING SURGERY, PAIN, SUFFERING, PHYSICAL INJURIES, AND EMOTIONAL

DISTRESS. UPON INFORMATION AND BELIEF DEFENDANTS EUOTE AND CRISCO

RESENT.

O. DEFENDANT ODEDERE STOPS THE USE OF FORCE. PLAINTIFF ASKS DEFENDANT

DEDERE WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE TO RESOLVE THE SITUATION, MEDICAL ATTENTION

Case 1:22-cv-00287-LCB-LPA Document 1 Filed 04/12/22 Page 19 of 36 11

- S NEEDED", DEFENDANT ODEDERE RESPONDS "STAFF WOULD HAVE TO BE CALLED,
- TANDCUFFS WOULD NEED TO BE APPLIED AND THEN MEDICAL DEPARTMENT
- COULD BE VISITED.
- 1. PLAINTIFF TELLS DEFENDANT ODEDERE "OKAY", DEFENDANT ODEDERE CALL
- STAFF, PLAINTIFF HANDCUFFS, AND THEN THE PLAINTIFF'S LOCKED DOOR IS
- INSECURED. PLAINTIFF 15 ESCORTED DOWN A FLIGHT OF STAIRS BY STAFF.
- DUE TO THE PLAINTIFF'S INABILITY TO SEE FROM THE USE OF FORCE A
- DETOUR FROM THE MEDICAL DEPARTMENT TO THE DECONTAMINATION SHOWER
- S NEEDED
- 2. MEDICAL DEPARTMENT VISITED, 2 NURSES ASSESSED THE PLAINTIFF.
- DUE TO SEVERITY OF INJURIES VISIBLE HOSPITAL IS NEEDED. Z SERGEANTS
- PHOTOGRAPH THE PLAINTIFF'S INJURIES. PLAINTIFF IS TRANSPORTED TO
- HOSPITAL WITHOUT RESTRAINTS WHERE X-RAYS WERE CONDUCTED, X-RAYS
  - Case 1:22-cv-00287-LCB-LPA Document 1 Filed 04/12/22 Page 20 of 30-5

IN. PINATIN NOWSELL DIAMENT CINSTIDATO II
COTLAND CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION
2385 McGIRT'S BRIDGE ROAD
AURINBURG, NC 28353
LAINTIFF, PRO SE
A

REVEALED A BONE BREAK. SPLINT WAS APPLIED. SURGERY WAS SCHEDULED. PLAINTIFF
VAS RETURNED TO SOUTHERN CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION. O.I.C TAKES THE
PLAINTIFF'S USE OF FORCE/INCIDENT REPORT STATEMENT. O.L.C ASSISTS
THE PLAINTIFF WITH GETTING THE REPLACEMENT ID CARD OUT
AND BAR OF SOAP.
LEGAL CLAIMS
3. DEFENDANT PABLER USE OF PEPPER SPRAY WAS AN EXCESSIVE,
SPROPORTIONATE USE OF FORCE. THAT FORCE WAS MALICIOUSLY
APPLIED AS PUNISHMENT. THE PUNISHMENT FOR "SLOWING DOWN"
HE DEFENDANT. THAT FORCE CAUSED INJURY. DEFENDANT PARKER'S
15E OF FORCE VIOLATED PLAINTIFF DIAMOND'S RIGHTS AND
ONSTITUTED CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT UNDER THE EIGHTH

Case 1:22-cv-00287-LCB-LPA Document 1 Filed 04/12/22 Page 21 of 30 0 14

AMENDMENT OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION.

SCOTLAND CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION
12385 McGIRT'S BRIDGE ROAD
.AURINBURG, NC 28353
'LAINTIFF, PRO SE

4. DEFENDANT EUOTE FAILED TO INTERVENE DURING DEFENDANT PARKER'S EXCESSIVE USE OF FORCE. DEFENDANT EUOTE KNEW THAT THE PLAINTIFF'S CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT WAS BEING VIOLATED. PEFENDANT EUOTE HAD THE TIME AND DUTY TO INTERVENE. THE THOICE TO STANDBY WHILE THIS VIOLATION OCCURRED LEAD TO THE PLAINTIFF BEING INJURIED. THIS VIOLATED PLAINTIFF DIAMONDS RIGHTS AND CONSTITUTED CRUFL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT UNDER THE SIGHTH AMENDMENT OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION. 5. DEFENDANT EYOTE USAGE OF THE FOOD TRAP TO SMASH THE LAINTIFF'S HANDS WAS AN EXCESSIVE USE OF FORCE. THAT FORCE WAS APPLIED MALICIOUSLY AND SADISTICALLY. THE EXCESSIVE USE OF FORCE CAUSED MULLAY. THIS VIOLATED PLAINTIFF DIAMOND'S RIGHT!

Case 1:22-cv-00287-LCB-LPA Document 1 Filed 04/12/22 Page 22 of 30 7 ^= 11

AND CONSTITUTED CRUFL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT UNDER THE EIGHTH

TIN. MINKLIN NUDDELL VINONONU VIND HIDLTS !!
XOTLAND CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION
22385 McGIRT'S BRIDGE ROAD
-AURINBURG, NC 28353
LAINTIFF, PRO SE

AMENDMENT OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION. 6. DEFENDANT EUOTE FAILED TO INTERVENE DURING DEFENDANT DDEDERE'S RATON STRIKES AGAINST THE PLAINTIFF, DEFENDANT EUOTE KNEW THE PLAINTIFF'S RIGHT TO BE FREE FROM CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT WAS BEING VIOLATED. DEFENDANT HAD THE TIME AND DUTY TO INTERVENE. THE DEFENDANT'S CHOICE NOT TO DO SO LEAD O THE PLAINTIFF BEING INJURIED, THIS VIOLATED PLAINTIFF DIAMOND RIGHT AND CONSTITUTED CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT UNDER THE EIGHTH AMENDMENT OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION. 17. DEFENDANT ODEDERE'S FIRST PEPPER SPRAY USE WAS AN EXCESSIVE, DISPROPORTIONATE USE OF FORCE. THAT FORCE WAS UNNECESSARILY AND MALICIOUSLY APPLIED, DEFENDANT ODEDERE INTENT WAS TO HARM, THAT

WAS SHOWN THRU HIS LACK OF AN ATTEMPT TO COMMUNICATE OR

COTLAND CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION
22385 McGIRT'S BRIDGE ROAD
-AURINBURG, NC 28353
2LAINTIFF, PRO SE

MITIGATE BEFORE USING FORCE ON THE PLAINTIFF. THAT EXCESSIVE
ASE OF FORCE LAUSED INJURY. DEFENDANT ODEDERE'S USE OF FORCE
VIOLATED THE PLAINTIFF'S RIGHTS AND CONSTITUTED CRUEL AND
INUSUAL PUNISHMENT UNDER THE EIGHTH AMENDMENT OF THE UNITED
STATES CONSTITUTION.
8. DEFENDANT ODEDERE'S BATON STRIKES WAS AN EXCESSIVE,
DISPROPORTIONATE USE OF FORCE. THE FORCE WAS APPLIED SADISTICALLY
AND MALICIOUSLY. THIS IS CLEARLY SHOWN BY THE DURATION OF THE
STRIKES, THE INTENSITY OF THE STRIKES, THE NUMBER OF STRIKES AND
THE DEFENDANT'S USE OF TARGETED STRIKES TO INFLICT AS MUCH PAIN
AND DAMAGE POSSIBLE. THE PLAINTIFF WAS SECURED BEHIND A LOCKED
DOOR, POSING NO THREAT, NEITHER WAS THE PLAINTIFF ATTEMPTING AN

ESCAPE OR ACTIVELY RESISTING AN ARREST. THE PLAINTIFF WAS

Case 1:22-cv-00287-LCB-LPA Document 1 Filed 04/12/22 Page 24 of 30

9 NE 11

SCOTLAND CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION
12385 McGIRT'S BRIDGE ROAD
.AURINBURG, NC 28353
LAINTIFF, PRO SE

SEVERELY INJURIED FROM THE EXCESSIVE USE OF FORCE. THAT FORCE OF AN EXCESSIVE NATURE VIOLATED PLAINTIFF DIAMOND'S RIGHTS AND CONSTITUTED CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT UNDER THE EIGHTH AMENDMENT OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION. 9. DEFENDANT CRISCO FAILED TO INTERVENE DURING DEFENDANT ODEDERE'S BATON STRIKES AGAINST THE PLAINTIFF DESPITE (NOWING THAT THE PLAINTIFF'S CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT TO BE FREE FROM CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT WAS BEING VIOLATED. THE DEFENDANT HAD THE TIME AND DUTY TO STOP THE EXCESSIVE FORCE BEING USED ON THE PLAINTIFF BUT CHOOSE NOT TO. THIS FAILURE TO NTERVENE LEAD TO THE PLAINTIFF BEING INJURIED, THIS VIOLATED PLAINTIFF DIAMOND'S RIGHT AND CONSTITUTED CRUEL AND UNUSUAL

Case 1:22-cv-00287-LCB-LPA Document 1 Filed 04/12/22 Page 25 of 3010 OF 11

PUNISHMENT UNDER THE EIGHTH AMENDMENT OF THE UNITED STATES

.2385 McGIRT'S BRIDGE ROAD AURINBURG, NC 28353 LAINTIFF; PRO SE
CONSTITUTION.
VERIFICATION
HAVE READ THE FOREGOING COMPLAINT AND HEREBY VERIFY THAT THE
MATTERS ALLEGED THEREIN ARE TRUE, EXCEPT AS TO MATTERS ALLEGED OF
NFORMATION AND BELIEF, AND, AS TO THOSE, I BELIEVE THEM TO BE
TRUE. I CERTIFY UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY THAT THE FOREGOING IS
TRUE AND CORRECT.
EXECUTED AT LAURINBURG, NC ON APRIL 3, 2022
Martin Russell Diamond
MARTIN RUSSELL DIAMOND

COTLAND CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION

COTLAND CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION
22385 McGIRT'S BRIDGE ROAD
-AURINBURG, NC 28353
PLAINTIFF, PRO SE

V. INJURIES
BROKE RIGHT INDEX FINGER, REQUIRED SURGERY-METAL PLATE, 6 SCREWS INSERTED
LOSS OF RIGHT INDEX FINGER'S RANGE OF MOTION AND ELEXIBILITY - PHYSICAL
THERAPIST REQUIRED
ARM CONTUSIONS, EXTENSIVE SWELLING AND BRUISING OF HANDS
RESPIRATORY PROBLEM
FYE IRRIATION - BLINDNESS FOR A TIME
HAND PAIN - DOCTOR, NURSES, PHYSICAL THERAPIST STATED MIGHT BE LIFE LONG ISSUE
QUALITY OF LIFE - LOSS OF ABILITY TO PLAY SPORT (FOOTBALL, BASKETBALL), USE
NSTRUMENT, HYGIENE PROPERLY (HOLD TOOTHBRUSH, HAIRBRUSH) WITHOUT ISSUE
MENTAL - ANXIETY AND DEPRESSION ISSUES, SLEEP TROUBLE
LOSS OF ABILITY TO FULLY CLOSE RIGHT HAND - PERMANENT DISABILITY

COTLAND CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION
2385 McGIRT'S BRIDGE ROAD
AURINBURG, NC 28353
LAINTIFF, PRO SE

VI. BELIEF
WHEREFORE, PLAINTIFF RESPECTFULLY PRAYS THAT THIS COURT ENTER JUDGMENT:
. ISSUING DECLARATORY RELIEF, DECLARING THAT THE ACTS AND OMISSIONS
DESCRIBED VIOLATED THE PLAINTIFF'S RIGHTS UNDER THE CONSTITUTION.
GRANTING PLAINTIFF COMPENSATORY DAMAGES IN THE AMOUNT OF
125,000 AGAINST EACH DEFENDANT, JOINTLY AND SEVERALLY.
GRANTING PLAINTIFF PUNITIVE DAMAGES IN THE AMOUNT OF \$50,000
AGAINST DEFENDANT PARKER
GRANTING PLAINTIFF PUNITIVE DAMAGES IN THE AMOUNT OF \$400,000
AGAINST DEFENDANT EUOTE
GRANTING PLAINTIFF PUNITIVE DAMAGES IN THE AMOUNT OF 1,550,000
AGAINST DEFENDANT ODEDERE
GRANTING PLAINTIFF PUNITIVE DAMAGES IN THE AMOUNT OF \$250,000

COTLAND CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION  2385 McGIRT'S BRIDGE ROAD  AURINBURG, NC 28353  LAINTIFF, PRO SE
AGAINST DEFENDANT CRISCO.
1. A JURY TRIAL ON ALL ISSUES TRIABLE BY JURY.
3. PLAINTIFF'S COST IN THIS SUIT.
1. ANY ADDITIONAL RELIEF THIS COURT DEEMS JUST, PROPER, AND EQUITABLE,
RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED APRIL 3, 2022
22385 McGIRT'S BRIDGE ROAD, LAURINBURG, NC 28353
Martin Russell Diamond
MARTIN RUSSELL DIAMOND

122CV28/

# Certificate of Acknowledgement

State of North Carolina before me Sherry S. Townsend, personally appeared, (signers) Personally know to me - OR -Proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evident to be the person (s) whose name (s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity (ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s) or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument WITNESS my hand and official seal Certification For; (notary signature) (seal) My commission expires on